

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9-12, 18-19, 21-27, 34-39, 42-46, 53, 56-58, 62, and 64-68 are pending in the application. Claims 2, 5-8, 13-17, 20, 28-33, 40-41, 47-52, 54-55, 59-61, 63, and 69-73 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1, 3, 9, 27, 37, 42-44, 46, 56, 58, 64-65, and 67 have been amended. Support for the amendments may be found in the specification at least at paragraphs [0027] and [0039]. No new matter has been added.

Claim Objections

The claims have been amended to overcome the objections to the claims. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the claim objections.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9-12, 18-19, 21-23, 25-27, 34-35, 37-39, 42-45, 53, 56-58, 62, and 64-68 are Allowable

The Office has rejected claims 1, 3-4, 9-12, 18-19, 21-23, 25-27, 34-35, 37-39, 42-45, 53-60, 62-68, and 70-73, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,766,175 B2 (Uchiyama) in view of United States Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0072544 A1 (Alexis) and further in view of United States Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0119800 A1 (Jaggers). Claims 54-55, 59-60, 63, and 70-73 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants respectfully traverse the remaining rejections.

The cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the specific combination of claim 1. For example, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers fail to disclose or suggest receiving first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transferring the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 1.

In contrast to claim 1, Uchiyama describes a docking station for a cordless telephone and a wireless telephone. The docking station facilitates communication of audio signals between the cordless telephone and the wireless telephone. Uchiyama, FIG. 7, col. 5, ll. 14-20, col. 10, ll. 25-40. The cited portions of Uchiyama fail to disclose or suggest communication of images.

between a wireless local area telephone and a wireless wide area network telephone. Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama fail to disclose or suggest receiving first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transferring the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 1.

In further contrast to claim 1, Alexis describes a docking station for a cordless telephone and a wireless telephone. Audio data and text data may be transmitted between the cordless telephone and the wireless telephone. Alexis, FIG. 1, FIG. 4, paragraphs [0072]-[0075]. Alexis also discloses that images can be stored and displayed by a cordless handset. Alexis, [0078]. The cited portions of Alexis fail to disclose or suggest communication of images between a wireless local area telephone and a wireless wide area network telephone via an intermediary apparatus. Therefore, the cited portions of Alexis fail to disclose or suggest receiving first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transferring the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 1.

In further contrast to claim 1, Jaggers describes a wireless communication device 105 that docks with a docking station 175 and transmits video to the docking station 175 for display at the docking station by a display 192. Jaggers, paragraph [0028], Fig. 1B. The cited portions of Jaggers do not disclose or suggest receiving image data at a first frequency from a local area telephone, converting the image data to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wide area network telephone. Therefore, the cited portions of Jaggers fail to disclose or suggest receiving first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transferring the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 1.

Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis and Jaggers, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the specific combination of claim 1. Hence, claim 1 is

allowable. Claims 3-4, 9-12, 18-19, 21-25, 53, and 56-58 are allowable, at least by virtue of their dependence from claim 1.

The cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis and Jaggers, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the specific combination of claim 27. For example, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers fail to disclose or suggest receiving a communication signal comprising image data from a wireless local area telephone, converting the image data of the communication signal from a first frequency to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 27.

In contrast to claim 27, Uchiyama describes a docking station for a cordless telephone and a wireless telephone. The docking station facilitates communication of audio signals between the cordless telephone and the wireless telephone. Uchiyama, FIG. 7, col. 5, ll. 14-20, col. 10, ll. 25-40. The cited portions of Uchiyama fail to disclose or suggest communication of images between a wireless local area telephone and a wireless wide area network telephone. Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama fail to disclose or suggest receiving a communication signal comprising image data from a wireless local area telephone, converting the image data of the communication signal from a first frequency to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 27.

In further contrast to claim 27, Alexis describes a docking station for a cordless telephone and a wireless telephone. Audio data and text data may be transmitted between the cordless telephone and the wireless telephone. Alexis, FIG. 1, FIG. 4, paragraphs [0072]-[0075]. Alexis also discloses that images can be stored and displayed by a cordless handset. Alexis, [0078]. The cited portions of Alexis fail to disclose or suggest communication of images between a wireless local area telephone and a wireless wide area network telephone via a base station. Therefore, the cited portions of Alexis fail to disclose or suggest receiving a communication signal comprising image data from a wireless local area telephone, converting the image data of the communication signal from a first frequency to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 27.

In further contrast to claim 27, Jaggers describes a wireless communication device 105 that docks with a docking station 175 and transmits video to the docking station 175 for display at the docking station 175 by a display 192. Jaggers, paragraph [0028], Fig. 1B. The cited portions of Jaggers do not disclose or suggest receiving image data at a first frequency from a

local area telephone, converting the image data to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wide area network telephone. Therefore, the cited portions of Jaggers fail to disclose or suggest receiving a communication signal comprising image data from a wireless local area telephone, converting the image data of the communication signal from a first frequency to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 27.

Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis and Jaggers, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the specific combination of claim 27. Hence, claim 27 is allowable. Claims 34-35, 62, and 64-68 are allowable, at least by virtue of their dependence from claim 27.

The cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis and Jaggers, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the specific combination of claim 37. For example, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers fail to disclose or suggest receiving, at a base station, first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting at the base station, the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transmitting the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to the wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 37.

In contrast to claim 37, Uchiyama describes a docking station for a cordless telephone and a wireless telephone. The docking station facilitates communication of audio signals between the cordless telephone and the wireless telephone. Uchiyama, FIG. 7, col. 5, ll. 14-20, col. 10, ll. 25-40. The cited portions of Uchiyama fail to disclose or suggest communication of images between a wireless local area telephone and a wireless wide area network telephone. Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama fail to disclose or suggest receiving, at a base station, first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting at the base station, the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transmitting the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to the wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 37.

In further contrast to claim 37, Alexis describes a docking station for a cordless telephone and a wireless telephone. Audio data and text data may be transmitted between the cordless

telephone and the wireless telephone. Alexis, FIG. 1, FIG. 4, paragraphs [0072]-[0075]. Alexis also discloses that images can be stored and displayed by a cordless handset. Alexis, [0078]. The cited portions of Alexis fail to disclose or suggest communication of images between a wireless local area telephone and a wireless wide area network telephone via a base station. Therefore, the cited portions of Alexis fail to disclose or suggest receiving, at a base station, first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting at the base station, the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transmitting the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to the wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 37.

In further contrast to claim 37, Jaggers describes a wireless communication device 105 that docks with a docking station 175 and transmits video to the docking station 175 for display at the docking station 175 by a display 192. Jaggers, paragraph [0028], Fig. 1B. The cited portions of Jaggers do not disclose or suggest receiving image data at a first frequency from a local area telephone, converting the image data to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wide area network telephone. Therefore, the cited portions of Jaggers fail to disclose or suggest receiving, at a base station, first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting at the base station, the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transmitting the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to the wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 37.

Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis and Jaggers, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the specific combination of claim 37. Hence, claim 37 is allowable. Claims 38-39 and 42-45 are allowable, at least by virtue of their dependence from claim 37.

Claims 24, 36 and 46 are Allowable

The Office has rejected claims 24, 36 and 46, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Uchiyama in view of Alexis and further in view of Jaggers et al. and United States Patent Publication No. 2002/0111190 (Harrison et al.). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Claim 24 depends from claim 1. As explained above, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers fail to disclose at least one element of claim 1. The cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest the elements of claim 1 not disclosed or suggested by the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers. For example, the cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest receiving first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transferring the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 1. In contrast to claim 1, Harrison describes a docking station for a personal digital assistant (PDA). The docking station has a slot for receiving a memory card. Harrison, FIG. 2A, paragraph [0044]. The cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest receiving first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transferring the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 1. Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, Jaggers, and Harrison fail to disclose at least one element of claim 1, from which claim 24 depends. Hence, claim 24 is allowable, at least by virtue of its dependence from an allowable claim.

Claim 36 depends from claim 27. As explained above, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers fail to disclose at least one element of claim 27. The cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest the elements of claim 27 not disclosed or suggested by the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers. For example, the cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest receiving a communication signal comprising image data from a wireless local area telephone, converting the image data of the communication signal from a first frequency to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 27. In contrast to claim 27, Harrison describes a docking station for a personal digital assistant (PDA). The docking station has a slot for receiving a memory card. Harrison, FIG. 2A, paragraph [0044]. The cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest receiving a communication signal comprising image data from a wireless local area telephone, converting the image data of the communication signal from a first frequency to a second frequency, and transmitting the image data at the second frequency to a

wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 27. Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, Jaggers, and Harrison fail to disclose at least one element of claim 27, from which claim 36 depends. Hence, claim 36 is allowable, at least by virtue of its dependence from an allowable claim.

Claim 46 depends from claim 37. As explained above, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers fail to disclose at least one element of claim 37. The cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest the elements of claim 37 not disclosed or suggested by the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, and Jaggers. For example, the cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest receiving, at a base station, first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting at the base station, the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transmitting the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to the wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 37. In contrast to claim 37, Harrison describes a docking station for a personal digital assistant (PDA). The docking station has a slot for receiving a memory card. Harrison, FIG. 2A, paragraph [0044]. The cited portions of Harrison fail to disclose or suggest receiving, at a base station, first image data at a first frequency and a first protocol from a wireless local area telephone, converting at the base station, the first image data at the first frequency and the first protocol to first image data at a second frequency and a second protocol, and transmitting the first image data at the second frequency and the second protocol to the wireless wide area network telephone, as in claim 37. Therefore, the cited portions of Uchiyama, Alexis, Jaggers, and Harrison fail to disclose at least one element of claim 37, from which claim 46 depends. Hence, claim 46 is allowable, at least by virtue of its dependence from an allowable claim.

Conclusion

Applicants have pointed out specific features of the claims not disclosed, suggested, or rendered obvious by the references as applied in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of each of the objections and rejections, as well as an indication of the allowability of each of the pending claims.

Any changes to the claims in this response, which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon the prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below if such a call would in any way facilitate allowance of this application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2469.

Respectfully submitted,

6/14/2009

Date



Jeffrey G. Toler, Reg. No. 38,342
Attorney for Applicants
TOLER LAW GROUP, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES
8500 Bluffstone Cove, Suite A201
Austin, Texas 78759
(512) 327-5515 (phone)
(512) 327-5575 (fax)